To: Hillenbrand, John[Hillenbrand.John@epa.gov]

From: Salyer, Kathleen

Sent: Mon 9/22/2014 5:39:33 PM

Subject: FW: Additional infromation in regards to the off-site investigation.

Attachment A Responses to DTSC Comment Letter Dated April 23 2014 FINAL.PDF

2014-4-30 Exide Resolution Letter.pdf

2014-5-30 Exide DTSC Response to disupte resolution Rgst Ltr Final.pdf

Let me know when you'll have a chance to look at this. I took a quick look at the risk-based isopleths in the first report and higher risk seems to be east of the facility but north of the neighborhood. Looking forward to hearing your impressions.

From: Salyer, Kathleen

Sent: Monday, September 22, 2014 8:35 AM

To: Dunkelman, Tom; Serda, Sophia; Hillenbrand, John

Subject: FW: Additional infromation in regards to the off-site investigation.

FYI

From: Ghazi, Rizgar@DTSC [mailto:Rizgar.Ghazi@dtsc.ca.gov]

Sent: Friday, September 19, 2014 3:06 PM

To: Salyer, Kathleen **Cc:** Huetteman, Tom

Subject: Additional infromation in regards to the off-site investigation.

Per our discussion earlier today in regards the extent of the investigation, I am attaching Exide's April 23, 2014 letter invoking dispute resolution for our request to expand off-site soil sampling. Exide claims that their lead contamination does not go beyond the 1700 foot circle outside of the facility and claims that contamination found beyond the 1700 foot circle could be attributed to other sources (Exide calls it Urban contamination). Urban contamination from other source include freeways, age of the homes, and heavy (lead) industry in the Vernon area. DTSC disagrees with Exide and attached is our response to the dispute.

Thanks

Rizgar

Rizgar A. Ghazi, P.E.

Office of Permitting
Department of Toxic Substances Control
8800 Cal Center Drive, Sacramento, CA 95826
T: 916-255-3572 | C: 916-825-3078